Director Name:		Department:			
Assignment:		School Year:			
COLLECTION OF EVIDENCE:					
Observations (dates/minutes	):				
Conferences (dates):					
Criterion #1 Effective leadership					
☐ Unsatisfactory (1)	☐ Basic (2)	☐ Proficient (3)	☐ Distinguished (4)		
Narrative / explanation (requ	ired):				
Criterion #2 Support for Quality Teaching and Learning					
☐ Unsatisfactory (1)	☐ Basic (2)	☐ Proficient (3)	☐ Distinguished (4)		
Narrative / explanation (requ	ired):				
Criterion #3 System-Wide Improvement					
☐ Unsatisfactory (1)	☐ Basic (2)	☐ Proficient (3)	☐ Distinguished (4)		
Narrative / explanation (required):					

Updated 6/16/16 Page 1

Criterion #4 Clear and Collabor	rative Relationship	os			
☐ Unsatisfactory (1)	☐ Basic (2)	☐ Proficient (3)	☐ Distinguished (4)		
Narrative / explanation (require	ed):				
	SCORI	NG PROCESS			
			Overall		
Administrative Criteria			Criterion		
			Scores (1,2,3, or 4)		
Criterion 1: Effective Leadershi	ip				
Criterion 2: Support for Quality		arning			
Criterion 3: System-Wide Impr					
Criterion 4: Clear and Collabor	ative Relationships	S			
Summative Teaching Criteria S	core				
Central Office Administrator Criteria Summative Rating Scale					
Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished		
4 - 6	7 - 10	11 - 14	15 - 16		
-		l .			
Recommendations for Continu	ed Growth:				
Based on the adopted criteria,	the administrator'	s performance has been:			
☐ Unsatisfactory	☐ Basic	☐ Proficient	☐ Distinguished		
□ Offisatisfactory	□ basic	□ Proficient	□ Distiliguisheu		
Additional Comments:					
Evaluator		Date			
Administrator		Date			
Both signatures required. Signi	ing of this instrum	ent acknowledges participat	ion in but not necessarily		

Updated 6/16/16 Page 2